

CERF 2017 PROVIDENCE EVALUATION FOR STUDENT PRESENTATIONS

PRESENTER: Graduate Undergraduate

PRESENTATION TYPE: Oral Poster

STUDENT NAME: _____ PRESENTATION TITLE: _____

SCORING: 5 = OUTSTANDING (Top 5%); 4 = EXCELLENT (Top 10%); 3 = GOOD; 2 = AVERAGE; 1 = POOR

Note that "5" should be used very sparingly (to 5% of ALL presentations). **Written comments are very important for breaking ties and for feedback for the student.** The student presenters will receive the forms after the conference (with the judge's name removed to maintain anonymity).

SCIENTIFIC CONTENT	SCORE (1-5)	WRITTEN COMMENTS
PRINTED ABSTRACT ➤ Abstract is clear, concise, correct, & well written		
INTRODUCTION ➤ Provides adequate context & background ➤ Explains why this work is important ("big picture")		
DESIGN & METHODS ➤ Appropriate methods used for this study ➤ Experimental design & stats are sound & reasonable		
RESULTS & INTERPRETATION ➤ Data analysis appropriate & correct ➤ Interpretation is based on the results, & provides an interesting, compelling story		
ORIGINALITY & CONTRIBUTION TO SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINE ➤ Study is original & creative ➤ Important contribution to coastal science ➤ Ties in with related studies previously done		

VISUAL PRESENTATION

ORGANIZATION ➤ Information is in logical sequence ➤ Presentation/poster is well-organized & easy to follow		
DESIGN OF SLIDES/POSTER ➤ Text size is large enough to read ➤ Layout, color, & design are visually engaging ➤ Figures and tables are clear and easy to understand		

VERBAL COMMUNICATION

VERBAL SKILLS ➤ Speaks in a clear, professional tone ➤ Enthusiastic & interacts with audience ➤ Stays within allotted time (oral presentation only)		
UNDERSTANDING OF SUBJECT ➤ Displays clear knowledge & understanding of topic ➤ Answers audience questions clearly & effectively		

(Poster): Check if the student was NOT at their poster during allotted presentation time & you did not speak with them:

PLEASE TALLY THE FINAL SCORE: _____ (45 points maximum)

NAME OF JUDGE: _____

Based on all student talks or posters you have seen at the conference, how would you rank this talk or poster? _____

Please use a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being excellent.